Supply Programme funds for rejuvenation/relocation of handpumps has not been approved as under the guidelines, only 10 per cent of Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme (ARWSP) and Minimum Needs Programme (MNP) funds can be used for operation and maintenance of rural water supply schemes; the balance cost is to be met out of non-plan provision or beneficiary contribution.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) Drinking water supply is provided in partially covered villages/habitations/uncovered population under the normal plan funds i.e. Minimum Needs Programme and Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme. Out of allocation of Rs. 29.99 crores under ARWSP for 1992-93, a sum of Rs. 18.474 crores has already been released. The provision under State MNP is Rs. 52.50 crores in 1992-93.

Drinking water scheme in District Pauri Garhwal, U.P.

2114. SHRI SHANTI TYAGI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of drinking water schemes operating in Pauri Garhwal (Kalijikhal Development Block) and since when;

(b) whether Government have received any complaints/suggestions regarding scarcity of water and irregular supply of water regarding Dhoor-Aulana drinking water scheme together with the action taken thereon and what are the reasons for giving private connections despite the scarcity of drinking water; and

(c) whether Government propose to restructure this scheme, increase and regulate the supply of water in the public interest, if so, what are the details thereof and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT) (SHRI UTTAMBHAI PATEL): (a) The Block-wise details of the schemes are not maintained at the level of the Central Government.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) No, Sir. No proposal for restructuring the scheme has been received from the State Government.

Financial Assistance to M.P. under IRDP

2115. SHRI SURESH PACHOURI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of families provided financial assistance under the Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP) in Madhya Pradesh during the finincial year 1992-93;

(b) the number of families likely to be given financial assistance under the IRDP in the State during 1993-94;

(c) the total amount given to each family under the IRDP during 1992-93 and how many of them were SC and ST families;

(d) whether women were also given financial assistance separately under the IRDP;

(e) if so, the details thereof; and whether Government propose to increase the amount of assistance to be given to each family under IRDP in M.P. during 1993-94; and

(f) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT) (SHRI UTTAMBHAI PATEL): (a) A total number of 127599 families were assisted under IRDP in Madhya Pradesh during the finincial year 1992-93 (upto January, 1993).

(b) The physical target, i.e. number of families to be assisted during 1993-94 under IRDP in Madhya Pradesh has not been finalised so far.

(c) The average investment per family under IRDP during 1992-93 (upto November, 1992) was Rs. 6664. A total number of 26103 SC families and 36672 ST families were assisted during 1992-93 (upto January, 1993) under this Programme.

(d) and (e) It is stipulated under the Programme guidelines that at least 40 per cent of beneficiaries should be women. During 1992-93 (upto January, 1993) a total of 25282 women were given financial assistance under IRDP in Madhya Pradesh. It is proposed to step up investment per family under IRDP during 1993-94 to at least Rs. 9900 of which subsidy per family would be Rs. 3300.

(e) Does not arise.

Assistance from donor countries for Drinking Water Projects in A.P.

2116. DR. NARREDDY THULASI REDDY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Andhra Pradesh Government have submitted proposals for bilateral assistance from the donor countries for tackling special drinking water problems in A.P. like excess flouride and brakishness;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) what are the stages of various projects?

THE MMINISTRY OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT) (SHRI UTTAMBHAI PATEL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The details and present status of the Projects are at Statement.

Statement

S. No.	Name of Project/Scheme	No. of villages	Estimated cost (Rs. in crores)	Present Status
1	2	3	4	5
1	Drinking Water Supply to Pollution affected villages in Medak district	14	1.60	Under technical scrutiny
2	Water Supply to Uddanam area in Srikakulam district	234 new villages and 55 rehabilitated villages.	27.56	EEC Mission had visited the area to assess the situation. Their response is awaited.
3	Pandaluru area in Cuddapah district	57	4.50	The Netherlands Government has not responded due to low priority attached to this project by them. The State Government's proposal for Central Government grant has not been accepted due to schemes costing Rs. 112 crores already cleared under Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme.
4	Water Supply to Ontimitta and other villages	40 villages	3.0	Do.